

Supercritical CO₂ Power Cycles Symposium

sCO₂ Waste Heat Recovery System for Turbofan Engine – System Optimization and Component Design

Claire-Phonie Bury, Ladislav Vesely, Marcel Otto and Jayanta Kapat

Center for Advanced Turbomachinery & Energy Research (CATER), University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL, United States

Michael Stoia

Boeing Research & Technology, The Boeing Company, Huntington Beach, CA, United States



Presentation Outline

Purpose and Introduction

***** Metrics, Cycle Selection and Analysis Methodology

***** Calculation Results

***** WHR Integration- HEX Designs

***** Conclusion and Future Work

Purpose

* From most recent publicly shared data, the transportation sector is one 13%

of the largest producers of CO2 emissions

* Transportation accounts for ~1/3rd of global CO2 emissions

 $\circ~$ Aviation is ~3% of the total CO2 emissions

* Government driven programs and other supported efforts aimed at

reducing aviation emissions have given rise to many approaches

• An indirect approach of using the waste heat from exhaust streams

via a waste heat recovery (WHR) system



BDEING

https://www.epa.gov/ghgemissions/sources-greenhouse-gas-emissions
https://transportgeography.org/contents/chapter4/transportation-and-environment/greenhouse-gas-emissions-transportation/
https://www.bbc.com/news/science-environment-49349566

NASA ULI- ALFA

Joshua

Schmitt

Tim Allison

To explore using liquid ammonia – a non-traditional source – as fuel for a jet engine and generating electricity from the engine's exhaust heat, reducing emissions, and saving on fuel.





Team members include University of Central Florida, Georgia Tech, Purdue University, Boeing, GE Research, ANSYS, Southwest Research Institute, and the Greater Orlando Aviation Authority.



Student list on this slide is incomplete

NASA ULI- ALFA

To explore using liquid ammonia – a non-traditional source – as fuel for a jet engine and generating electricity from the engine's exhaust heat, reducing emissions, and saving on fuel.



Introduction

Aircraft engine waste heat can be converted into electricity for onboard systems via Waste Heat Recover WHR

***** Environmental control, hydraulics, pneumatics, and other systems

that are typically driven by engine power extraction

***** WHR systems provide a pathway to improve propulsion performance and emissions in current and future aircraft

***** Using sCO2 as the working fluid - heat transfer capabilities,

relatively lower viscosity and higher density

- ***** Steam cycles– heavier and more wasteful
- ***** Challenges- Integrating WHRS with minimal effect to engine

performance such as pressure drops and fuel burn







Introduction (continued)

- * What: sCO2 Waste Heat Recovery System optimization
- Why: Starting point for potential configurations of a WHRS in a turbofan engine and to analyze performance
- * How:
 - 1. Conduct sCO2 WHR power system steady-state calculations of various cycles
 - 2. Design HEXs
 - 3. Select power cycles for this application
 - 1. Compare using system-specific metrics



Metrics Considered Cycle and Boundary Conditions

sCO2 Power Cycle Selection- Metrics

- 1. Integration complexity
- 2. Cycle performance- Thermal efficiency
- 3. Overall engine performance WHRS size



sCO2 Power Cycle Variations

- Simple Brayton *
- Recuperated *
- ∻ Recompression
- Split expansion *
- Pre-compression ∻
- Pre-cooling* *
- Cascade* *

Components

Compressor

Turbine

RHX

PHX

CHX

* Preheating*

*Integration complexity; WHRS size

sCO₂ power cycle layouts consist of combinations of compressor (C), (T), Cooler/fan CHX, turbine primary heat exchanger/core (PHX) and recuperative heat exchanger (RHX)

Pre-

compression

2

1

2

1

1

Pre-

coolina

3

1

2

1

2

Cascade Preheating

1

1

1

2

1

-

1

2

2

2

1

Simple Brayton

•Pros: Simple design; reliable operation •Cons: Lower efficiency; no heat recuperation





Split

expansion

2

2

2

1

1

Re-

compression

2

1

2

1

1

Simple

Bravton

1

1

0

1

1

Recuperative

1

1

1

1

1

sCO2 Power Cycle Variations

Recuperated

•**Pros**: Higher efficiency - reduced waste heat load. •**Cons**: Increased complexity, higher costs



Recompression

•**Pros**: Enhanced efficiency; improved thermal matching.

•Cons: Increased complexity, higher costs



UCF

sCO2 Power Cycle Variations

Split Expansion

•**Pros**: Tailored expansion stages, improved thermal efficiency •**Cons**: Increased complexity, higher costs



Precompression

•**Pros**: Heat source matching, staged compression •**Cons**: Increased complexity, higher costs





UCF

Boundary Conditions for sCO2 Brayton Cycle-Turbofan engine parameters

| Case | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | <mark>10</mark> | unit |
|------------------------|--------|--------|--------------------|--------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------|--------|---------------------|------|
| Altitude | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,668 | 9,668 | 10,668 | <mark>10,668</mark> | m |
| Ambient temperature | 320 | 315 | 310 | 300 | 290 | 288.15 | 277.31 | 225.31 | 218.81 | <mark>218.81</mark> | к |
| Mach Number | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 0.64 | <mark>0.74</mark> | - |
| | | | Inle | et parameter | <mark>s for PHX/Co</mark> | o <mark>re (sCO₂ hea</mark> | <mark>iter)</mark> | | | | |
| T₅ | 865.3 | 856.7 | 848.0 | 830.9 | 816.2 | 813.5 | 792.4 | 684.3 | 684.1 | <mark>679.8</mark> | к |
| P ₅ | 124.13 | 125.02 | 125.967 | 128.03 | 130.47 | 130.96 | 111.28 | 47.74 | 45.38 | <mark>47.29</mark> | kPa |
| Mass flow 5 | 59.25 | 60.45 | 61.69 | 64.33 | 67.21 | 67.77 | 59.95 | 28.56 | 27.16 | <mark>28.4</mark> | kg/s |
| | | | <mark>Inlet</mark> | parameters f | f <mark>or Air cooler</mark> | <mark>∕/Fan (sCO₂ c</mark> | ooler) | | | | |
| T ₁₈ | 355.91 | 351.01 | 346.11 | 336.29 | 326.73 | 324.95 | 318.46 | 275.71 | 275.85 | <mark>280.58</mark> | к |
| P ₁₈ | 140.41 | 141.26 | 142.13 | 143.95 | 145.89 | 146.26 | 127.22 | 51.68 | 49.19 | <mark>52.81</mark> | kPa |
| Mass flow rate | 23.49 | 23.97 | 24.46 | 25.51 | 26.67 | 26.89 | 23.80 | 11.34 | 10.78 | <mark>11.28</mark> | kg/s |

Engine cycle analysis is performed for cruise operation at case 10 using engine data for a typical 250 passenger aircraft



Analysis Methods Calculation Results

Methodology and Validation



- **Thermodynamic Equations** *
 - Thermal efficiency, turbine and compressor work, heater * and cooler heat added and removed, turbine and compressor isentropic efficiency

$$\eta_{th} = \frac{W_{net}}{Q_{in}} = \frac{W_t - W_c}{Q_{in}} = 1 - \frac{Q_{out}}{Q_{in}}$$

$$W_t = \mathbf{m}_{sCO2}(H_{in} - H_{out})$$

$$W_c = m_{sCO2}(H_{out} - H_{in})$$

$$Q_{in} = m(H_{out} - H_{in})$$

$$Q_{out} = m(H_{in} - H_{out})$$

$$\eta_t = \frac{H_{in} - H_{out_{real}}}{H_{in} - H_{out_{id}}}; \ \eta_c = \frac{H_{out_{id}} - H_{in}}{H_{out_{real}} - H_{in}}$$

ASME GT2023-103166 AIAA Scitech AIAA 2023-0307

Bell, I.H., Wronski, J., Quoilin, S., Lemort, V., "Pure and Pseudo-pure Fluid Thermophysical Property Evaluation and the Open-Source Thermophysical Property Library CoolProp", Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, Vol. 53, No. 6, 2014, pp. 2498- 2508. https://doi.org/10.1021/ie4033999. **SATER**



15

UCF

Cycle Assumptions

Net Power is held at 492 kW, estimated from electric power extracted per engine for a single aisle 250 passenger aircraft and power transfer to the accessory gearbox of a CFM Leap1B

| Parameter | Assumptions | unit |
|---|-------------------------|------|
| *Minimum compressor inlet temperature (CIT) | Air temperature + 5.0 K | К |
| ΔΤ _{ΡΗΧ} | 10 | |
| WHR unit compressor inlet pressure | 7.4 | MPa |
| Recuperator (RH) effectiveness | 90 | |
| **Turbine isentropic efficiency | 90 | % |
| **Compressor isentropic efficiency | 75 | |
| Pressure Ratio | 3.25 | - |
| sCO ₂ mass flow rate | Variable | kg/s |
| Net power | 492 | kW |

CIT- 306 K

* *Sourced from literature. More applicable magnitudes are used now from detail design of components



Calculation Results

- ✤ Net Power is held at 492 kW
- Efficiency falls between 17-37%
- ✤ m_{sCO2} ranges from 5-8 kg/s

| | Simple Brayton | Recuperative | Re-compression | Split expansion | Pre-compression | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|--|--|
| Cycle efficiency | <mark>17.11</mark> | <mark>31.84</mark> | <mark>36.18</mark> | <mark>32.36</mark> | <mark>31.96</mark> | <mark>%</mark> | | |
| Turbine power output | 0.71 | 0.67 | 0.85 | 0.89 | 0.79 | | | |
| Compressor input power | 0.21 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.30 | | | |
| Added heat | 2.88 | 1.55 | 1.36 | 1.52 | 1.54 | N 41A/ | | |
| Removed heat | 2.38 | 1.05 | 0.87 | 1.03 | 1.05 | IVIVV | | |
| Regenerative heat | 0.00 | 1.38 | 2.08 | 3.06 | 1.41 | | | |
| Net power | | | 0.492 | | | | | |
| mass flow - max | 5.44 | 5.23 | 7.08 | 7.97 | 5.25 | kg/s | | |
| | 5.44 5.23 7.08 7.97 5.25 kg/s Cooler/fan 5.44 5.23 4.38 5.00 5.25 kg/s | | | | | | | |
| sCO ₂ flow – cooler | 5.44 | 5.23 | 4.38 | 5.00 | 5.25 | kg/s | | |
| sCO ₂ pressure | 7.69 | 7.81 | 8.47 | 8.63 | 7.86 | MPa | | |
| sCO ₂ inlet temperature | 320 | 95 | 83.2 | 87.9 | 91.5 | С | | |
| sCO ₂ outlet temperature | | | 32.85 | | | С | | |
| | Heater/Core | | | | | | | |
| sCO ₂ flow – heater | 5.44 | 5.23 | 6.45 | 7.19 | 5.25 | kg/s | | |
| sCO ₂ pressure | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | MPa | | |
| sCO ₂ inlet temperature | 88 | 219.3 | 296.6 | 287.2 | 220.8 | С | | |
| sCO ₂ outlet temperature | | | 449.27 | | | С | | |



WHR Integration- HEX Designs

The 8th International Supercritical CO2 Power Cycles • February 27 – 29, 2024 • San Antonio, TX, USA

18

Design Assumptions

- Design point cruise operation
- Titanium the cycle would continuously operate at or above 306 K, which precludes the use of most aluminum alloys.
- Key design parameters- inlet temperature, pressure, and flow rate for the hot (sCO2) and cold (air) working fluids,
- Relevant performance targets include maximum allowable pressure drops for each HEXs
- ✤ Plate/Fin design configurations
- ✤ Plain fins considered for airside and Offset fins sCO2 side
- ***** TTC INSTED Technology



Offset-strip Fin



Plain Fin



Fin Cross-Section







HEX Performance, WHR Size and Cycle Selection

| HEX | Fluid | Туре | Material | Energy Density | е | DP/ P_hot | DP/ P_cold |
|-------------|------------------------------------|-----------|----------|--------------------|-----|--------------|---------------|
| [-] | [-] | [-] | [-] | [kW/Kg] | [%] | [%] | [%] |
| *Heater | Air/sCO ₂ | Plate/Fin | Titanium | <mark>12.25</mark> | 95 | 5.85 | 0.1 |
| *Cooler | sCO ₂ /Air | Plate/Fin | Titanium | <mark>17.13</mark> | 95 | 2.5 | 0.94 |
| Recuperator | sCO ₂ /sCO ₂ | Plate/Fin | Titanium | <mark>21.64</mark> | 80 | 0.07 | 0.005 |

*ASME GT2023-103166 *Scitech AIAA 2023-0307

| | Simple Brayton | Recuperative | Re-Compression | Split expansion | Pre- Compression | |
|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| РНХ | 234.73 | 126.15 | 111.01 | 124.13 | 125.68 | |
| Cooler | 139.14 | 61.49 | 50.67 | 60.04 | 61.15 | ka |
| Recuperator | - | 63.57 | 96.14 | 141.29 | 65.33 | |
| WHRS Mass | <mark>373.87</mark> | <mark>251.20</mark> | <mark>257.82</mark> | <mark>325.46</mark> | <mark>252.16</mark> | 1 |
| | | | | | | |
| Cycle efficiency | <mark>17.11</mark> | <mark>31.84</mark> | <mark>36.18</mark> | <mark>32.36</mark> | <mark>31.96</mark> | <mark>%</mark> |

Metrics Overall engine performance - WHRS size Cycle performance- Thermal efficiency

Based on WHRS size which affect engine performance and the cycle performance indicating best energy transfer from heat to power, the Recompression, Recuperative and Precompression cycles are selected for further analysis for aviation application



Conclusion and Future Work

Conclusion & Future Work

- sCO2 WHR power system is optimized to generate 492kW of power at cycle efficiencies between 17% to 37%
- The Recuperative, Recompression and Precompression cycles stand out due to higher efficiencies and compact sizes, ideal for retrofitting existing engines
- Final selection for integration would consider trade off between efficiency and total size (including turbomachinery, machine packaging and piping weights)
- ✤ Future work will focus on improving engine performance while integrating the WHR
 - Cooler heat sink as bypass vs fuel systems



NASA ULI- ALFA

To explore using liquid ammonia – a non-traditional source – as fuel for a jet engine and generating electricity from the engine's exhaust heat, reducing emissions, and saving on fuel.



Thank you for your attention!

Claire-Phonie Bury clairephonie.bury@ucf.edu



University of Central Florida

Center for Advanced Turbomachinery and Energy Research Laboratory for Turbine Aerodynamics, Heat Transfer and Durability