



Oxidation and Exfoliation in sCO₂ Power Cycles for Transformational Fossil Power Systems

J. Shingledecker, S. Kung, D. Thimsen (EPRI) B. Tossey (DNV-GL) I. Wright (WrightHT) A. Sabau (ORNL)

5th International Symposium – Supercritical CO₂ Power Cycles San Antonio, TX USA March 29-31, 2016

Project Objectives

- Overall Objective
 - Predict the oxidation/corrosion performance of structural alloys in high-temperature highpressure supercritical CO₂ (sCO₂)
 - Combine laboratory testing & computational modeling including unique attributes of sCO₂ heat exchangers to accomplish this goal
- Materials for sCO₂ help Enable US DOE Program Goals for Future Transformational Power Systems



sCO₂ Power Turbine (676 MW)

Graphics by Aerojet Rocketdyne, used with permission

Some configurations of the sCO₂ Brayton power cycle might achieve **100% carbon dioxide capture and zero emissions of conventional pollutants** with little or no efficiency or capacity penalty.



Technology Challenges for sCO₂

- HX Expensive: '40% of plant cost'
- Small channels
- Large surface areas
- Materials considerations: thermal fatigue, creep (thin sections), brazing/diffusion bonding, corrosion/oxidation/carburi

zation

Corrosion/Oxidation

- Closed cycle = build-up of impurities
- Open cycle = combustion products
- Long-term performance, pluggage, blockage, etc.

Compact Heat Exchanger Fouling (Sandia National Lab)



Realistic sCO₂ conditions for semi-open Allam cycles

Survey of industry and current studies

- 700°C likely maximum temperature in heatexchangers
- Evaluation of impurities for nearest-term 'open/direct-fired cycle' – Allam Cycle
 - H₂O, O₂, N₂, Ar, NO_x, SO_x, HCI
 - Mass-balance calculations for methane and cooled, raw syngas (checked against thermodynamic calculations)

	Composition (mol%)			
Species	Methane	Cooled raw	Oxvgen	
		coal syngas	- 70-	
CH_4	100	1.0		
CO		39.0		
H ₂		28.3		
CO ₂		8.0		
H₂O		20.0		
N ₂ +Ar		2.0	0.5	
H2S		0.9		
HCI		0.02		
02			99.5	
LHV	912 BTU/scf	218 BTU/scf		





$O_2 = 3.6 \text{ vol}\%, H_2O = 5.3 \text{ vol}\%$



Scope of Laboratory sCO₂ Corrosion Tests

Conditions

- 600-750°C, 200 bar
- $-sCO_2$
 - Commercially pure
 - Simulated semi-open cycle impurities (O₂ + H₂O)

Materials

- Commercially available
- Code approved or Industrially relevant
- Focus on economics

Exposures

- 300 hours (Gr 91, 304H, 740H), 700°C
- 1,000 hours (all 7 alloys), 3 temperatures
- ≥3,000 hours (all 7 alloys), 1 temperature

Material Class	Alloys Selected			
Ferritic steels	Gr. 91 (8- 9Cr)	VM12 (11-12Cr)	Crofer 22H (20Cr)	
Austenitic stainless	304H (18Cr)	310HCbN (25Cr)		
Nickel- based	617 (20Cr, solid soln)	740H (25Cr, ppt. strengthnd)		





Laboratory Testing Facility (DNV-GL)

- High temperature and pressure (600-750°C, 200 bar)
- Existing test facility modified for sCO₂ to ensure safety
- Introduction of impurities (O₂, H₂O)
- 300-hour tests in sCO₂ with and without impurities completed successfully

Supercritical Carbon







Comparison of Mass gain in sCO₂ and steam

- Results from 300 hour test in pure sCO₂, 700°C, 200 bar Mass gains are similar to results in steam and other studies in sCO₂
- However, mass gain is not useful for evaluating oxide morphology and propensity for exfoliation
 Lim 2008, Gr91 (220d)
 Rouillard 2010, Gr91 (220d)
 Lim 2008, Gr91 (220d)
 Lim 2008, Gr91 (220d)
 Pint 2014, Gr91 (200d)
 Pint 2014, Gr91 (200d)
 Pint 2014, Gr91 (1d)

Sample	Sample	Weight gain		
ID	#	mg	mg/cm ²	
T91	1	124.57	7.66	
	2	143.47	8.82	
	3	124.17	7.63	
TP304H	1	4.53	0.28	
	2	2.77	0.17	
	3	3.97	0.24	
740	1	3.13	0.19	
	2	3.47	0.21	
	3	3.80	0.23	

300 hr mass gain data are consistent with assembled literature data



P = T(°K)[20+logt(hr)]x10⁻³



Grade 91 after 300 hour test in pure CO₂ at 200 bar, 700°C: Oxide Morphology





- Overall multi-layered scale structure, but
- Intermediate layers are present between expected L1 & L2
- Outer 'intermediate layer' contains Cr (level lower than in L1)



Evidence of Carburization on Gr 91 after 300 hour in pure sCO₂ at 200 bar, 700°C

- Decoration of etched Gr 91 microstructure
- Initial spot hardness measurements for carburization inconclusive
- More detailed characterization pursued

Hardness Spot Checks

Depth (microns)

625

417

271

167 83 Hardness

(Vickers) 224

234

251

321

297



Carburization evident from micro-hardness measurements on Gr 91 in pure sCO₂ after 300 hour at 200 bar, 700°C



Automated hardness map shows harder nearsurface region



Laser micrographs of 304H and 740H after 300 hours in pure CO_2 at 200 bar, 700°C



304H

740H

Very thin oxide layers on higher-Cr austenitic and nickel-based alloy at short-times



Hardness on Gr 91 after 300 hour test in impure sCO_2 (3.6% O_2 , 5.3% H_2O) at 200 bar, 700°C



dependence on impurity content of sCO₂



Hardness Profiles on Gr 91 after 300 hour test in pure and impure sCO₂ at 200 bar, 700°C



- Carburization depth >200 µm after 300 hours
- Can it lead to breakaway corrosion?



Hardness on 304H and Inconel 740H after 300 hour test in impure sCO_2 (3.6% O_2 , 5.3% H_2O) at 200 bar, 700°C



740H shows no evidence of change in surface hardness with sCO₂ exposure



Mass Gain from 1000 hour test in impure sCO_2 (3.6% O_2 , 5.3% H_2O) at 200 bar, 700°C



- Mass gain trend with alloy chromium content for Fe-based alloys
- 304H stainless steel has highest mass gain of austenitics
- Mass gains for HR3C, 617, and 740H are comparable



Comparison of Ferritic Alloys and 304H Stainless Steel 1,000 hour test in impure sCO₂ (3.6% O₂, 5.3% H₂O) at 200 bar, 700°C



- All ferritic alloys form duplex scale structure at 700°C, even with ~23%Cr
- No exfoliation observed (yet)
 - EPRI models for steam predict exfoliation from Gr.91 at 200 to 400 microns total oxide thickness





Comparison of Ferritic Alloys and 304H Stainless Steel 1,000 hour test in impure sCO₂ (3.6% O₂, 5.3% H₂O) at 200 bar, 700°C

EDS Fe-Cr or Fe-Cr-O Maps Overlayed on SEM Images





VM12: 11.2%Cr



Crofer 22: 22.7%Cr

- Outer oxides are Fe-based
 - Gr. 91 continues to show intermediate layer(s) showing Cr & Fe striations
 - With exception of Gr. 91, oxide morphologies appear similar to those in steam
- No exfoliation observed, but
 - outer Fe-oxide (L2) growing on all alloys suggests eventual exfoliation
 - Voids already forming on L1/L2 interfaces on ferritic alloys--these are typical locations for scale failure



304 Stainless Steel: 18.4%Cr-18.1%Ni



High-Cr Stainless Steel and Nickel-Based Alloys 1,000 hour test in impure sCO_2 (3.6% O_2 , 5.3% H_2O) at 200 bar, 700°C



HR3C: 25.1%Cr-19.9%Ni

617: 22.2%Cr-Ni

740H: 24.5%Cr-Ni

- Very thin oxide scales
- Surface roughness > oxide thickness



Recent Data: Mass Gain from 1000 hour test in impure sCO_2 (3.6% O_2 , 5.3% H_2O) at 200 bar, 700°C versus 650°C



- Similar trends at 650°C to 700°C
 - Weight gain reduced by ½ with 50°C reduction in temperature
 - VM12 and Crofer 22H ranking inconsistent between tests
 - Oxide scales being characterized



Unique consideration for oxide growth and exfoliation in small channel heat-exchangers

- Lab studies: isothermal oxide growth on small flat coupons
- Real world: heat-flux, stress from complex geometries
- Modeling:
 - EPRI-developed strain trajectory approach for steam tubes
 - Properties of sCO₂ and alloys collected
 - Discussion with vendors on convex vs. concave surfaces – need to develop a generic modeling approach





See presentation by Adrian Sabau for details



Test Matrix Progress

Description (Purpose)	Conditions	Test Status	Characterization	
Rig Commissioning	Temperature monitoring & pressure	Complete	n/a	
Short-term	700°C-300hr-Pure	Complete	100%	
(compare to literature, impurity introduction)	700°C-300hr-Impure	Complete	100%	
Test Program	700°C-1,000hr-Impure	Complete	Ongoing: ~300,	
(develop oxide	650°C-1,000hr-Impure	Complete	700, 1,000hr:	
and propensity for exfoliation)	750°C-1,000hr-Impure	Ongoing	thickness, morphology	
Long-Term (Validate Models and test unique geometries)	700°C-3,000+hr-Impure	Discussions with vendors to test actual sub components		



Summary

- First project to address oxidation in open sCO₂ Allam cycle
 - Impurity concentrations have been determined via mass balance and thermodynamic calculations
- A new test rig has been assembled and 300-hour laboratory tests with and without impurities completed
 - 1,000-hour tests are progressing at 650-750°C
- Although short-term mass gains for alloys in sCO₂ and steam are similar, some differences in scale morphology
 - Intermediate layer between L1 & L2 with Cr striations in Gr. 91
 - Carburization identified on Gr 91 using hardness mapping; appears more severe in pure sCO₂
 - Possible carburization on stainless steels requires more investigation and longer-term test
- Effect of sCO₂ and geometry on oxidation to be evaluated through modeling [Separate Presentation]



Acknowledgements / Team

 This work is sponsored by the DOE Office of Fossil Energy Cross-Cutting Materials Research Program: *DE-FE0024120*

DOE/NETL

- Vito Cedro, Project Manager

EPRI

- John Shingledecker (Principal Investigator)
- David Thimsen

ore

- Steve Kung
- DNV-GL
 - Brett Tossey
- WrightHT
 - Ian Wright
- Oak Ridge National Laboratory
 - Adrian Sabau



8th International Conference on Advances in Materials Technology for Fossil Power Plants

- October 11-14, 2016: Sheraton Algarve: Albufeira, Portugal
- Materials for: power steam boilers, steam turbine, gas turbines, and heat recovery steam generators:
 - Plant economics, advanced designs, and field experience
 - New materials, advanced alloys, & material design concepts
 - Tubes, pipes, rotors/discs, casings
 - Creep, fatigue, toughness, creep-fatigue interaction
 - Microstructural evolution
 - Coatings, corrosion, claddings
 - Welding & fabrication



Over 140 Papers to be presented

Conference Proceedings in Partnership with ASM International





Together...Shaping the Future of Electricity



Alloy Compositions for DOE sCO ₂ Corrosion Study (wt.%)							
	T91	VM12	Crofer 22H	304H	HR3C	617	740H
Al	0.01	0.01	0.01	<0.01	0.01	1.13	1.33
В	0.002	0.004	<0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.001
Ce	-	-	-	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Са	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	-	-	-	-
Со	0.02	1.47	0.02	0.22	0.08	11.44	20.28
Cr	8.39	11.2	22.71	18.42	25.13	22.19	24.53
Cu	0.09	0.08	0.01	0.18	0.03	0.03	0.01
Fe	-	-	-	70.33	52.39	1.55	0.12
La	<0.01	<0.01	0.06	-	-	-	-
Mn	0.44	0.39	0.43	1.8	1.19	0.09	0.26
Мо	0.93	0.36	0.01	0.22	0.1	9.5	0.32
Nb	0.06	0.03	0.5	0.01	0.44	0.06	1.49
Ni	0.13	0.36	0.26	8.13	19.85	53.31	50.04
Р	0.014	0.015	0.018	0.028	0.015	<0.002	<0.002
Si	0.24	0.41	0.29	0.48	0.4	0.08	0.15
Sn	0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Та	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Ti	<0.01	<0.01	0.08	<0.01	<0.01	0.35	1.36
V	0.18	0.2	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.01
W	0.15	1.6	1.9	0.01	<0.01	0.13	<0.01
Zr	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.02
As	0.0038	0.0029	0.0019	0.0025	0.0021	0.0002	<0.0001
Bi	< 0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	0.0008	0.00006	0.00007	0.00017
Pb	0.00005	<0.00001	0.00007	-	-	-	-
Sb	0.00077	0.00041	0.0001	-	-	-	-
С	0.08	0.12	0.004	0.043	0.066	0.091	0.024
S	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.001	<0.001	0.002
0	0.0032	0.0037	0.0032	0.0032	0.0016	0.0005	0.0006
N	0.0447	0.0359	0.017	0.0604	0.238	0.0065	0.004

